GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

TRIBAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT - LTR - Revision Petition is filed by Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba W/o. Satyanarayana Murthy and another before the Government under Section 6 of Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70, against the orders of Agent to Government, Eluru District passed in S.R.A No.8/1999, dated:14.02.2001 in respect of land measuring Acres 3.47 cts in R.S. No.393/5 and Ac. 1.75 cts in R.S. No. 393/7 and Ac.1.10 cts in OS. No.19 of Pragadapalli (V), Polavaram (M), Eluru District - Dismissed - Orders - Issued.

TRIBAL WELFARE (LTR.A2) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Ms.No.09 Dated:22.02.2024.
Read the following:-

1. Revision petition filed by Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba, W/o. Satyanarayana Murthy and Smt. Daparthi Sumathi W/o. Satyanarayana, erstwhile West Godavari District, dt.24.05.2001.
2. G.O.Ms.No.111, Social Welfare (LTR-1) Department, Dt.12.06.2008.
3. From the Asst. Registrar, High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad orders dt.17.09.2018 in WP.No.15609/2008, filed by Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba, W/o Satyanarayana Murthy and Smt. Daparthi Sumathi, W/o Satyanarayana, Eluru District.
4. G.O.Ms.No.16, Social Welfare (LTR-1) Department, Dt.17.03.2022.
5. From the Asst. Registrar, High Court of Judicature at Hyderabad orders dt.22.08.2022 in WP.No.26215 of 2022, filed by Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba, W/o Satyanarayana Murthy and Smt. Daparthi Sumathi, W/o Satyanarayana, Eluru District.

*****

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, Smt. Nandamuri Atchmamba, W/o. Satyanarayana Murthy and another has filed the revision petition before the Government of Andhra Pradesh under Section 6 of Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70, against the orders of Agent to Government, Eluru District passed in S.R.A No. 8/1999, dated:14.02.2001 in respect of land measuring Acres 3.47 cts in R.S. No.393/5 and measuring Ac. 1.75 cts in R.S. No.393/7 and Ac.1.10 cts in OS. No.19 of Pragadapalli (V), Polavaram (M), Eluru District.

2. Brief history of the subject case:

i. The Special Deputy Tahsildar, Kota Ramachandrapuram gave notice only in respect of RS. No.19 to extent of Acs.1.10 cts of Pragadapalli Village but conducted enquiry in respect of lands situated in RS Nos.393/5 and 393/7 which against Principles of natural justice.

ii. The Special Deputy Collector, Kota Ramachandrapuram, Eluru District passed an orders on 10.09.1990 in SR Nos.699 and 700/1985 upholding the title and possession of Smt.Nandamuri Atchamamaba in respect of RS Nos.393/5 and 393/7 and the said order has become final and as such the impugned proceedings are clearly barred by Resjudicata.

iii. The petitioners purchased the land of Ac.1.10 cents in RS No.19 through a sale deed and obtained in the year 1971 and it is in their possession and enjoyment along with the lands in RS Nos.393/5 and 393/7 from inception.

iv. Aggrieved by the orders of the Special Deputy Collector, Kota Ramachandrapuram, Eluru District has appeal Smt. Nandamuri Atchmamaba

against orders of the Special Deputy Collector, Kota Ramachandrapuram, dated: 10.09.1990 before the Agent to Government, Eluru District.

v. The Agent to Government committed an error in coming to conclusion that the oral gift towards PASUPU KUMKUMA is not valid is totally contrary to the decision of the Hon'ble High Court vide 1979(2) A.P.L.J. Page 421 (D.B)=AIR 1980 A.P. Page 139 wherein it was held that gift of lands in favour of the daughter sister towards Paspu Kumkuma as marriage provisions requires no writing and no registration.

3. Based on the above Revision Petition, Government after careful examination of the case records of petitioner have found that there is no reason to interfere with the orders of the Lower Court and uphold the orders of Agent to Government, Eluru District in S.R.A.No.8/99, dt.14.02.2001 as the transaction made without valid documentary evidence is and void. Hence the Revision petition is dismissed in reference 2nd read above.

4. Aggrieved by the above said orders, Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba, W/o. Satyanarayana Murthy and Smt.Daparthi Sumathi, W/o.Satyanarayana, W.G. District has filed W.P.No.15609/2008 before the Hon'ble High Court, the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh in its orders dt.17.09.2018 in W.P.No.15609/2008 is as follows:

"The Writ Petition is allowed and the order dt.12.06.2008 in R.P.No.7025/LTR.I/2001 passed by the 4th respondent (Government) through G.O.Ms.No.111, Social Welfare (LTR.I) Department, Dt.12.06.2008 is set aside and the matter remanded to the 4th respondent (Government) and the said authority shall, after giving an opportunity to both parties and upon hearing them, shall decide the Revision Petition with reference to the following issues:

i. Whether or not principle of resjudicata apply in respect of the Ac.4-22 cts of land in R.S.Nos.393/5 and 393/7 in view of the earlier order in S.R.Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985.

ii. Whether the agreement to sell dated:12.11.1969 pleaded by the 1st petitioner in respect of Ac.1-10 cts is legally valid so as to obviate the sale transaction from the mischief of Regulation 1/1959 as amended by 1/1970.

iii. As per the orders of Hon'ble High court in W.P.No.15609/2008, dt.17.09.2018 the petitioner and defendants were called for the hearing along with written arguments and records. The Special Deputy Tahsildar (TW), K.R.Puram, West Godavari District and petitioner and defendants have attended the hearing conducted by the appellate authority on 09.02.2019 and finally heard on 24.08.2019.

iv. The petitioner Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba has filed W.P. No. 26215/2022 dt.22.08.2022 before the Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh against the Government orders in G.O.Ms.No.16 Social Welfare (TW.LTR.I) Department, dt.17.03.2022 in this regard, the Hon'ble High Court passed orders dt.25.01.2023 in which operative portion of the orders is as follows:

"In view of the same, this court is not inclined to go into the merits of the case while facts remains that the 1st respondents has not considered the issues framed by this court in earlier writ petition. Hence, G.O.Ms.No.16 Social Welfare (TW.LTR.I) Department, dt.17.03.2022 is set aside remanding the matter to the 1st respondent for fresh consideration. It is needless to mention that 1st respondent has to consider the issues framed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P.No.15609/2008, dt.17.09.2018 and pass fresh orders".

5. The said revision petition has been posted for hearing before the Hon'ble Revision Authority on several dates and finally 15.07.2023 duly informing the same to the petitioner and defendants. During the course of the hearing and on perusal of the connection records /relevant materials and para-wise remarks submitted by the Special Deputy Collector, K.R.Puram and written arguments filed by both parties counsels, the revision authority has observed that:

a) The Memorandum of Revision Petition is filed by Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba W/o. Satyanarayana Murthy and another before the Government under Section 6 of Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70, against the orders of Agent to Government, erstwhile West Godavari District, Eluru passed in S.R.A No. 8/1999, dated:14.02.2001 in respect of land measuring Acres 3.47 cts in R.S. No.393/5 and Ac.1.75 cts in R.S.No.393/7 and Ac.1.10 cts in OS.No.19 of Pragadapalli (V), Polavaram (M), erstwhile West Godavari District, Eluru.

b) The matter came for hearing finally before the Revision Authority on 15.07.2023. Heard Parties. The official respondent filed Parawise remarks. The Petitioner filed written submissions. The following order is made after perusal of the material documents available on the record and connected case files.

c) The contention of the Revision Petitioner is that a notice was issued by the Special Deputy Collector (SDC), K.R. Puram, Tribal Welfare for the land an extent of Ac.1.10 cts of OS No.19 of Pragadapalli Village but conducted an enquiry in respect of other lands situated in RS Nos. 393/5 and 393/7 also which were covered by an earlier order dated:10.9.1990 passed in SR No.699 and SR No.700/1985 wherein the Special Deputy Collector (TW), K.R. Puram up held the title and possession of the Revision Petitioner and the said order has become final and as such the impugned proceedings are clearly barred by Resjudicata.

d) The contention of the Revision Petitioner further is that she purchased the land of Ac.1.10 cents in RS No.19 through a sale deed in the year 1971 and said land is in her possession and enjoyment along with other lands in RS Nos.393/5 and 393/7. Further contention is that the Agent to Government committed an error in coming to conclusion that the oral gift towards PASUPU KUMKUMA is not valid is totally contrary to the decision of the Hon'ble High Court vide 1979 (2) A.P.L.J. Page 421 (D.B) = AIR 1980 A.P. Page 139 wherein it was held that gift of lands in favour of the daughter sister towards Pasupu Kumkuma as marriage provisions requires no writing and no registration.

e) The brief facts of the case are that the Special Deputy Collector (TW), K.R Puram passed an order in SR Nos.699/85 & 700/85, dated:10.9.1990 in favour of the Revision Petitioner on a complaint filed by the Special Deputy Tahsildar (TW) No.II, Kota Ramachandrapuram, against her in respect of the lands in RS No.393/5 (in SR No.699/85) measuring Acs.2.47 and RS No.393/7 (in SR No.700/85) measuring Acs.1.75-Acs.4.22 situated in Pragadapalli, a scheduled village of Polavaram Mandal. As per the order of the Special Deputy Collector (TW), the land originally belonged to Smt. Kakarala Appayamma who is the mother of the Revision Petitioner. The Revision Petitioner got the said lands from her mother Smt. Kakarala Appayamma and she is the only daughter to her as such there is no violation of Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70.

f) Subsequently the Special Deputy Tahsildar (TW), K.R. Puram filed another complaint under the provisions of Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70 against the Revision Petitioner in S.R.No.477/98, for the lands admeasuring Ac.1.10 cts in old survey (No. 19) alleging that she is in illegal occupation of land. During the enquiry in to the said land, the other lands which are form part of the claim of the Revision Petitioner surfaced and after an enquiry the Special Deputy Collector (TW), K.R Puram held on 30-12-1998 that there is no document to show that a land transfer was effected between the Revision Petitioner

and Kakarla Appayamma in respect of lands in RS Nos.393/5 (2.47 acs.) and 393/7 (1.75 acs.). The Special Deputy Collector (TW) further held that these lands were held by Vyshnapu Subba Rao S/o Satyanarayana. Said Subba Rao had gifted these lands to his daughter Smt. Daparthi Sumathi during her marriage and said D. Sumathi is holding the land in RS Nos.393/5 and 393/7.

g) The Special Deputy Collector (TW), K.R. Puram finally held that the possession and enjoyment of the Revision Petitioner and said Daparthi Sumathi in relation to all the lands involved in the case are hit by the LTR 1 of 70 and directed for eviction of both the Revision Petitioner and Said D.Sumathi from the subject lands and further directed the Mandal Revenue Officer, Polavararn restore the said lands to true tribal claimants or in their absence, assign the same to land less poor tribes.

h) Aggrieved by the said order the Revision Petitioner and D.Sumathi filed an Appeal in SRA No.8/99. The Agent to Government passed an impugned order dated: 14.2.2001 holding that the lands in RS Nos.393/5 and 393/7 measuring Ac.2.47 cts + Ac.1.75 cts stood over in the name of Kakarla Appayamma i.e., mother of the Revision Petitioner. Said Kakarla Appayamma purchased 2 acres vide document No.363, dated:30.6.1962 (in old survey No.19) from Andru Ganemma and another 1 acre vide document No.445, dated: 24.7.1968 (in patta.No.22) from Vyshnapu Jagganna. And these 3 acres were gifted to the Revision Petitioner towards Pasupu Kumkuma but there is no recorded evidence in respect of the gifted property.

i) The Agent to Government further held that the Revision Petitioner purchased an extent of Ac.1.10 cts from Vyshnapu Jagganna and his sons Ranga Rao, Venkataratnam (Old Survey (OS) No.19) through a sada agreement dated: 12.11.1969 and later got it registered as document bearing No.360/71 dt:27.8.1971, which is not valid. The Encumbrance Certificate (EC) did not disclose any RS Numbers of land - previous sale took place between Kondabattu Subbayamma and Vyshnapu Subbanna covered by OS No.19 - Ac.3.71 and OS No.20 - Ac.2.76 and the document prior to 1932 is not valid without having prior permission from the competent authority. The Agent to Government, finally issued the impugned order dt.14-7-2001 confirming the orders of Special Deputy Collector (TW), K.R. Puram passed in SR No.477/98, dt:30.12.1998. Aggrieved by the said orders of the Agent to Government, the Smt. Nandamuri Atchamamba / Revision Petitioner filed a Revision Petition before the Government.

j) The Government after an enquiry passed an order vide G.O.Ms.No.111, Social Welfare (LTR.1) Department, dated:12.06.2008 upholding the order of the Agent to Government passed in SRA No.8/99 observing that the entire extent of Acs.2.47 + Ac.1.75cts = Ac.4.22 cts (in RS Nos.393/5 and 393/7) stand registered in the name of Kakarla Appayamma according to the correlation statement as held by the Agent to Government, Eluru, but there is no recorded evidence of transfer that land from Kakarla Appayamma to his daughter Nandamuri Atchamamba.

k) The Government further held that another extent of Ac.1.10 cts purchased by Nandamuri Atchamamba / Revision Petitioner from Vyshnapu Jagganna and his sons Ranga Rao, Venkatratnam (old survey No.19) through a sada agreement dated:12.11.1969 which has no value since it is an un registered agreement which cannot be taken as an evidence and subsequent registration on 27.8.1971 i.e., executed after 1/70 came into force, which is also not valid. The Government rejected the argument of the counsel for petitioner that oral gift towards Pasupu Kumkuma not requires any writing or registration.

l) The Revision Petitioner and Smt. D. Sumathi being aggrieved further by the order in R.P.No.7025/LTR1/2001 passed through an order vide G.O.Ms. No.111, Social Welfare (LTR.1) Department, dated:12.06.2008, the Revision Petitioner had filed a W.P.No.15609/2008 where in the Hon'ble High Court passed an

order dt:17-09-2018 setting aside the impugned the order issued in G.O.Ms. No.111, Social Welfare (LTR.1) Department, dated:12.06.2008 and remanded the matter to the Government directing the Government to decide the Revision Petition after giving an opportunity to both parties and upon hearing them, with reference to the following issues:

I. Whether or not principle of resjudicata apply in respect of the Ac. 4-22 cts of land in R.S. Nos.393/5 and 393/7 in view of the earlier order in S.R. Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985.

II. Whether the agreement to sell dated:12.11.1969 pleaded by the 1st petitioner in respect of Ac.1.10 cts is legally valid so as to obviate the sale transaction from the mischief of Regulation 1/1959 as amended by 1/1970.

Issue No 1:

i. The evidence given by the husband of the Revision Petitioner here in before the prime authority in SR No.699/85 & 700/85 shows that the schedule lands in RS.No.393/5 and 393/7 originally acquired by Smt. Kakarla Appayyamma, mother of the Revision Petitioner, and the Revision Petitioner got these lands under "Pasupukunkuma'. However the husband of the Revision Petitioner Sri Nandamuri Satyanarayana Murthy (RW1) admitted before the Special Deputy Collector(TW) S.R. Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985 that "no document was written to that effect" (Pasupukunkuma) and further deposed that the transactions were held through registered sale deeds in 1962 and 1968 between Smt. Kakarla Appayamma and other non tribals. The Special Deputy Collector (TW) passed an order concluding that there is no violation of the provisions of Land Transfer Regulations.

ii. During the enquiry conducted by the Special Deputy Collector (TW), K.R. Puram in subsequent proceedings held in SR No.477/98, he found that the lands in RS No. 393/5(Ac.2.47cts) and RS No.393/7(Ac.1.75cts) which were covered by the earlier proceedings in S.R. Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985, were held by Sri Vyshnapu Subbarao S/o Satyanarayana, who further gifted the said lands to his daughter Smt. Daparthi Sumathi on the occasion of her marriage. The report of the Village Administrative Officer filed during the enquiry clearly corroborate this fact. This is a new material fact which was not covered by the enquiry in the earlier proceedings held in S.R. Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985.

iii. Though both the earlier proceedings on the file of Special Deputy Collector (TW) in S.R. Nos. 699/1985 and 700/1985, and subsequent proceedings in SR No.477/98 were initiated at the instance of the Special Deputy Tahsildar (TW), K.R Puram. But the Respondent No.2 Daparthi Sumathi in SR No.477/98 who is found in possession and enjoyment of the Schedule lands in RS No.393/5 (Ac.2.47cts) and RS No. 393/7 (Ac.1.75cts) during the enquiry, was not a party to the earlier proceedings held in S.R. Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985 on the file of Special Deputy Collector (TW), K.R. Puram.

iv. Therefore, the principle of resjudicata is not applicable to the facts of the present case. The Hon'ble High Court of Andhra Pradesh, held that the orders passed in the first proceedings initiated under the Regulation will not operate as Resjudicata if the later proceedings were initiated by third party or by the same party on the basis of any further material (2003(6)ALD NOC 68).

v. The Division Bench of Andhra Pradesh High Court further held that, the earlier proceedings do not operate Resjudicata in the present proceedings, if the question involved in the earlier proceedings is distinct and different from the one on the basis of which the present proceedings initiated (2003(1) ALD 386, DB).

vi. Therefore in view of the above, the principle of resjudicata is not applicable in respect of the Ac. 4-22 cts of land in R.S. Nos.393/5 and 393/7 in view of the earlier order in S.R. Nos. 699/1985 and 700/1985.

vii. Now the point for the consideration further is whether any prohibited transfer involved in the case. During the enquiry in to the land in question an extent of Ac.1.10 cts, the Revision Petitioner had also claimed the land Ac.4.22 which was covered by the earlier order in S.R. Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985, which has become a part of enquiry in the proceedings in SR.No.477/98.

viii. During the enquiry the land an extent of Ac. 4.22 was found in the possession and enjoyment of 2nd respondent / Sumathi in 1998. The Special Deputy Collector (TW) found the possession and enjoyment of the Revision Petitioner in S.R. Nos.699/1985 and 700/1985 in 1990. Therefore it proves that the land an extent of Ac. 4.22cts came in to the possession of the 2nd respondent as per the order in SR No. 477/98, through a prohibited transfer.

ix. The Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1of 70 was made to facilitate effective enforcement of the said 1959 Regulations.(i) A rule of presumption was introduced to the effect that unless the contrary is proved, where a non-tribal is in possession of land in the Scheduled areas, he or his predecessors-in-interest, shall be deemed to have acquired it through transfer from a tribal. Therefore the burden of proof lies on a non tribal to prove that the lands in his occupation is not in violation of the Land Transfer Regulations.

x. As per the Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70 all the Transfers of land in Scheduled Areas in favour of non-tribals is completely banned. Non-tribals holding lands in the Scheduled Areas shall be prohibited from transferring their lands in favour of persons other than tribals. Therefore the land came in to the possession of Smt. Sumathi / 2nd respondent in SR No. 477/98 shall be deemed that she got the lands through a prohibited transfer from the Revision Petitioner as per the provisions of Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70 which came in to effect from 03-02-1970.

xi. The full bench decision of the Hon'ble High Court in its case Vemana Somalamma Vs Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Rampachodavaram (1993(1) ALT 409) held that in the case of a transfer of land situate within the scheduled area by a non-tribal in favour of another non-tribal which is absolutely null and void under the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 3, the non-tribal transferor is not entitled to have the land restored to him and that Clause (a) of subsection (2) of Section 3 of Regulation 1 of 1959, as amended by Regulation 1of 1970, has no application to such a transfer. Even the transferee-non-tribal is also not entitled to retain the property.

xii. Therefore the impugned order passed by the Agent to Government, in SRA No.8/99 in respect of the land Ac.4.22 cts, is valid and proper.

Issue No 2:

a. The contention of the Revision Petitioner is that she purchased the land Ac.1.10cts under possessory sale agreement dated:12-11-1969 from Vyshnapu Jagganna & his sons prior to Regulation 1 of 70 and got a registered sale deed bearing No.360, dated:27-08-1971.

b. It is relevant to mention here the statement of Sri N.Satyanarayana Murthy, husband of the Revision Petitioner during the enquiry held in SR No. 477/98 before the primary enquiry authority/Special Deputy Collector(TW). He declined to claim the land Ac.1.10cts and also stated that he does not know about the registration of land in favor of his wife in 1971 and also denied any

kind of relationship of the lands mentioned in the said sale deed. Therefore the Revision Petitioner is estopped to claim the said land.

c. Moreover there is no reference of the particulars of un registered agreement 1969 in the subsequent Registered sale deed bearing No.360/1971 effected between the non tribals. The Andhra Pradesh High Court held that in the absence of proof for possession of land prior to Regulations 1 of 70 and where there is no reference of the particulars of un registered sale agreements in the subsequent sale, are hit by the provisions of Regulations 1 of 70. (Kakarla Nageswara rao & others Vs Govt. of AP-1995(3) ALT 164). Therefore it can be safely presumed that the un registered sale agreement is pressed in to service to escape from the clutches of Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70 which prohibits transfer of lands between non-tribals also in the scheduled area.

d. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil No.3124, (Arising out of SLP (Civil)No.9305/2011), Delhi Development Authority Vs Gaurav Kukreja held that the immovable property can be legally and lawfully transferred / conveyed only by a registered deed of conveyance. Transactions of the nature of General Power of Attorney sales" or "Sale Agreement etc., transfers" do not convey title and do not amount to transfer, nor can they be recognized or valid mode of transfer of immovable property. "The courts will not treat such transactions as completed or Concluded transfers or as conveyances as they neither convey title nor create any interest in an immovable property" as per the said ruling of the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

e. The Memo No.3204/LTR1/06 dated:19-07-2008 issued by the Government of Andhra Pradesh which directs the authorities not to consider the unregistered sale deeds produced by non tribals in the Scheduled Area as an evidence during the enquiry under the provisions of LTR 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70 to check the exploitation of non tribals in land deals.

f. Therefore the sale transaction effected between the non tribals through a registered sale deed bearing No. 360/ 1971 is and void under the Section 3 (1)(b) of Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 70. Hence the finding given by the Additional Agent to Government is valid and proper in respect of the Revision Petition schedule lands admeasuring Ac.1.10cts in Sy. No.19 situated in the scheduled area. The claim of the Revision Petitioners is hereby disallowed.

g. In exercise the powers conferred under section 6 of the AP Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70 the Revision Petition filed by the Petitioner is hereby dismissed. Therefore the impugned order Dated:14-02-2001, passed by the Agent to Government, erstwhile West Godavari, Eluru in SRA No.8/99 is hereby upheld. As a sequel interim orders if any passed in the matter are hereby vacated. Revision Petition is disposed of accordingly.

6. Government after careful examination of the revision petition and the circumstances stated supra and in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 3 of A.P.S.A.L.T.R.,1959 as amended by Reg. 1/70, the orders passed by the Appellate Authority Project Officer, ITDA, K.R.Puram in S.R.A.No.8/1999 are hereby upheld and the Revision petition filed by Smt. Nandamuri Atchmamba W/o. Satyanarayana Murthy and another R/o Rajampalem (V), Gopalavaram (M), West Godavari District, Eluru with regard to the Scheduled property covered by R.S.No.393/5 and Ac.1.75 cts in R.S.No.393/7 and Ac.1.10 cts in OS No.19 of Pragadapalli (V), Polavaram (M), erstwhile West Godavari District is dismissed and Interim orders passed if any are hereby vacated in the matter.

7. The Collector & District Magistrate, West Godavari District, Eluru is requested to take necessary action in the matter accordingly and acknowledge the receipt of the case records, which are returned herewith.

8. This order shall be published in apegazette.cgg.gov.in

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

KANTILAL DANDE
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

To
The Agent to Government cum Collector & District Magistrate,
West Godavari District, Eluru.
The Additional Agent to Government cum Project officer,
ITDA, Kotaramachandrapuram, West Godavari District.
The Special Deputy Collector (TW), Polavaram,
West Godavari District.
Smt. Nandamuri Atchmamba W/o Satyanarayana Murthy
R/o Rajampalem (V), Gopalavaram (M), West Godavari District, Eluru.
Smt. Daparthi Sumathi W/o Satyanarayana, R/o Repallewada (V),
Polavaram (M), West Godavari District, Eluru.

Copy to:

Sri C.C.S. Sastry, Advocate, H.No.6-2-45/10, A.C. Guard,
Advocate Colony, Hyderabad-4.
The P.S. to Hon'ble Deputy Chief Minister (TW).
The P.S. to Principal Secretary to Government (TW).
The Commissioner of Printing,
Government of Andhra Pradesh at Government Regional Press,
Vijayawada.
Sf/Sc.

// FORWARDED :: BY ORDER //

SECTION OFFICER